

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

7590 03/20/2002  Robin M Silva  ELEUD HOURA CH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Robin M Silva  ELEMP HOURACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP	09/653,924	09/01/2000	David A. Horwitz	A-67689-3/RFT/RMS/RMK 7255	
ELEND HOURACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP	75	590 03/20/2002			
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP				EXAMINER	
	FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP			HADDAD, MAHER M	
Four Embarcadero Center	Four Embarcad	lero Center		integrit, i	VII II
Suite 3400 ART UNIT PAPER NU	Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187					

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/653,924	HORWITZ, DAVID A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maher M. Haddad	1644			
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) 🗌 🛭 R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
•	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims					
•	aim(s) $1-28$ is/are pending in the application					
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	•	or				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🔲 .	All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.	Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received in Applicat	ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14\\mathbb{N} Asknowledgment is made of a claim for demostic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
14) $\boxtimes$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		_				
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/653,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction Requirement

- 1. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 11-14, and 16-17, drawn to a method for inducing T cell tolerance in a sample of *ex vivo* peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) comprising adding a suppressive-inducing composition, classified in Class 435, subclass 325.
  - II. Claims 2-8 and 10-17, drawn to a method for treating donor cells to ameliorate graft versus host disease comprising suppressive-inducing composition; classified in Class 424, subclasses 85.1.
  - III. Claims 18-28, drawn to a kit for treatment of donor cells; classified in Class 530, subclass 351; and Class 435, subclass 810.
- 3. Groups I-II are different methods. A method for inducing and a method for treating differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 4. Groups III/II are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the kit for treatment of donor cells of Group III can be used as inducing T cell tolerance in a sample of  $ex\ vivo$  peripheral blood mononuclear cells of Group I as well as the IL-2 and TGF- $\beta$  can be used as immunogens to make antibodies, in addition to the method of treating recited.

Art Unit: 1644

5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Species Election

- 6. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.
  - A. If Group I is elected, applicant is required to elect a method for inducing T cell tolerance or a method for generating suppressor cells comprising adding a specific suppressive inducing composition (such as TGF-β alone or a mixture of TGF-β and IL-2), wherein the PBMCs are specifically enriched (such as enriched for CD8+ or CD4+ cells).

The methods of using TGF- $\beta$  alone or mixture of TGF- $\beta$  and IL-2 for inducing T cell tolerance are distinct because TGF- $\beta$  and IL-2 structures and modes of action are different which, in turn, address different therapeutic endpoints. In addition, the methods of using CD8+ or CD4+ cells for inducing T cell tolerance are distinct because they produce different cytokines with immunosuppressive effects; thus each cell type represents patentably distinct subject matter.

B. If Group II is elected, applicant is required to elect a method for treating donor cells to ameliorate graft versus host disease by generating suppressor cells comprising adding a specific suppressive inducing composition (such as TGF-β alone or a mixture of TGF-β and IL-2), wherein the PBMCs are specifically enriched (such as enriched for CD8+ or CD4+ cells).

The methods of using TGF- $\beta$  alone or mixture of TGF- $\beta$  and IL-2 for treating are distinct because TGF- $\beta$  and IL-2 structures and modes of action are different which, in turn, address different therapeutic endpoints. In addition, the methods of using CD8+ or CD4+ cells for treating are distinct because they produce different cytokines with immunosuppressive effects; thus each cell type represents patentably distinct subject matter.

Art Unit: 1644

7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (703) 306-3472. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Maher Haddad, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 March 14, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800 /6 60